Existentia: Concrescence Journal of Arts and Humanities
Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

COMMUNITARIAN ETHICS AND THE INDIVIDUAL IN NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT: A PHILOSOPHICAL APPRAISAL

Adebayo, Adekunle Moses
General Studies Unit, McPherson University,
Seriki Sotayo, Ogun State
adebayoam@mcu.edu,ng

Abstract
In the realm of African philosophical thought, communitarian ethics stands out for its emphasis on shared values, solidarity, and collective responsibility. This framework has significantly influenced modern conversations about nation-building. Yet, a persistent challenge remains: how do we balance the needs of the community with the rights and autonomy of individuals? This tension complicates the path to national development. This paper examines the interplay between communitarian ethics and individual rights within the context of national growth, aiming to offer a thoughtful philosophical perspective. The central question we explore is whether a focus on communal values might suppress individuality, or if there's a way to harmonize personal agency with social unity. To tackle this, the study employs a critical analysis of relevant philosophical texts, drawing from African communitarian traditions such as Ubuntu and Omolúàbí in the community, while also weaving in insights from Western communitarian and liberal philosophies. The findings indicate that communitarian ethics can provide a strong ethical basis for collective advancement, moral accountability, and inclusive governance. However, if applied without scrutiny, it risks veering into authoritarianism and sidelining individual rights. Thus, the study promotes a dialectical approach that seeks to balance communitarian values with individual autonomy, paving the way for justice, equity, and sustainable development. Ultimately, this paper enhances our understanding by illustrating how a nuanced examination of communitarian ethics can offer a practical ethical framework for nation-building.

Keywords: Communitarian ethics, individual, national development, African philosophy, Ubuntu, Omolúàbí

Introduction

The journey toward national development in Africa has become a hot topic in philosophical circles, especially regarding the ethical frameworks that shape our social and political lives. There is a need to explore the ethical frameworks that shape our social and political lives. One of the standout frameworks is communitarian ethics, which emphasizes the significance of solidarity, shared values, and how the community plays a crucial role in shaping our individual identities and responsibilities (Gyekye, 1997; Mbiti, 1969). In

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

African philosophy, the saying "I am because we are" beautifully illustrates the notion that our personhood and moral worth are realized through our connections with others (Menkiti, 1984). Yet, while communitarian ethics is certainly appealing, it raises some challenging questions for national development. If the community takes centre stage, what happens to individual autonomy, rights, and the push for innovation? Conversely, if we place too much emphasis on individuals, can we still uphold communal harmony and the ethical foundation necessary for nation-building? This tension lies at the core of this study.

The goal of this paper is to examine how communitarian ethics and individualism interact, particularly in the context of national development. By examining African communitarian concepts, such as the Yorùbá idea of Omolúàbí and the Southern African principle of Ubuntu, within a broader philosophical framework, the paper assesses their importance and limitations in shaping development policies and ethical practices.

For the methodology, the study uses philosophical analysis and critical reflection on existing literature, drawing insights from both African and Western perspectives on communitarianism and liberalism. This balanced approach enables a thoughtful exploration of how communal values and individual autonomy can collaborate to promote justice, equity, and sustainable development.

1. Conceptual Clarification

To go into any philosophical discussion, it's crucial to have a solid grasp of the key concepts at play. In this paper, we'll focus on four main ideas that need some unpacking: ethics, communitarian ethics, the individual and national development.

1.1 Ethics

Ethics, often called moral philosophy, is the study of moral values, principles, and the judgments that shape our behaviour. It tackles some pretty big questions like: What should we do? What does it mean to live a good life? Unlike descriptive morality, which looks at how people actually behave from a sociological or anthropological perspective, ethics goes a step further by providing a normative evaluation and a critical justification for moral claims. Ethics explores the concept of morality; at its core, it examines our ideas of what's right or wrong, good or bad, and just or unjust in our actions (MacIntyre, 2007). It provides a framework that guides our behaviour, both as individuals and as members of a larger community. In African philosophy, ethics often highlights relationships, focusing on our duties and obligations, and on the significance of living in harmony rather than merely adhering to abstract principles (Wiredu, 1992).

From a philosophical standpoint, ethics serves two main roles: it's a theoretical discipline that digs into the roots of moral reasoning, and it's also a practical one that helps steer our actions in personal, professional, and political spheres. At its core, ethics is all about justification, not just what people think is right, but why those beliefs should be seen as rational and defensible.

There are different branches of ethics: Metaethics explores the essence and significance of moral claims. It tackles questions like whether moral truths are real or just social constructs, and what we really mean when we use moral language. For instance, when we say "justice is good," metaethics probes whether "good" points to an objective quality, a societal agreement, or simply a way of expressing approval. Current discussions are buzzing

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

around moral realism, constructivism, and expressivism, especially as we navigate the complexities of cultural diversity and global ethical perspectives.

Normative ethics is all about establishing principles that steer our moral actions. The three main schools of thought are: Consequentialism (like utilitarianism) – Actions are deemed right if they lead to the greatest overall good. Deontology (linked to Kantian ethics), moral duties and rules are what define rightness. Virtue Ethics (drawing from Aristotle), its focus is on moral character and what it means to flourish as a human being. These days, there's a growing trend to blend these traditions rather than see them as separate. Virtue ethics, in particular, is making a comeback in conversations about professional and technological responsibilities.

Applied ethics takes the principles from normative ethics and applies them to real-world areas like: Bioethics, Business ethics, Environmental ethics , Research ethics , Technology and AI ethics In the 21st century, applied ethics has emerged as one of the most vibrant fields of philosophical exploration, driven by the fast-paced changes in science and technology.

The rise of artificial intelligence has sparked pressing ethical dilemmas around autonomy, bias, accountability, and moral agency. Recent research suggests that sticking to rule-based compliance isn't enough; instead, we should embrace virtue-oriented and narrative-driven ethical frameworks that highlight character, responsibility, and moral creativity in the design of technology (Hayes et al., 2025).

Today's ethics are increasingly challenging the idea that humans are the center of moral consideration. The discussions now extend to include not just animals and ecosystems, but also future generations and even artificial beings. Sebo (2025) delves into the philosophical implications of broadening our moral concerns beyond the usual human-centric view. In the realm of modern research ethics, we're facing some tough challenges like data manipulation, AI-driven scholarship, cross-cultural experiments, and the differences in global regulations. Scholars are stressing the importance of transparency, accountability, and the need for solid ethical training in our academic institutions (Thakar, 2025).

With climate change at the forefront, ethical discussions are increasingly focusing on intergenerational justice and our responsibility to the environment. The debate around distributive justice, especially between the Global North and South, has sparked deeper philosophical conversations about sustainability, responsibility, and the need for reparative justice.

Ethics currently plays at least four crucial roles: The critical function questions and challenges unfair social norms. It also has a normative function because it offers principled guidance for our actions. Ethics plays a regulative function by helping to shape professional codes and legal frameworks. Finally, it has a transformative function because it broadens our moral awareness in light of new realities.

1.2 Communitarian Ethics

Communitarian ethics is a fascinating blend of ethical and political theory that highlights the importance of community, shared values, and social connections in shaping who we are and our sense of moral duty. Unlike liberal individualism, which highlights personal freedom and individual rights, communitarianism suggests that we are deeply rooted in social traditions and cultural backgrounds that shape our moral perspectives (Sandel, 2020;

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

Taylor, 2019). The modern communitarian movement emerged partly in response to liberal theories, such as those proposed by John Rawls. His focus on justice as fairness and the supremacy of individual rights was often viewed as disconnected from the real-life experiences of communities (Rawls, 1999). In contrast, thinkers like Michael Sandel argue that our identities aren't just about being free agents; they're shaped by our community ties and moral traditions (Sandel, 2020). Similarly, Charles Taylor emphasizes that our sense of self is formed through dialogue and is rooted in shared cultural contexts (Taylor, 2019).

Another influential voice in this conversation is Alasdair MacIntyre, who argues that moral reasoning is shaped by tradition and that virtues only make sense within the context of specific historical communities (MacIntyre, 2007/3rd ed.). From this viewpoint, ethical assessments go beyond just checking if an action respects rights; they also consider whether it promotes the common good and the moral practices that support community life. Amitai Etzioni takes this further with his concept of "responsive communitarianism," which aims to strike a balance between individual freedoms and social responsibilities in today's democratic societies (Etzioni, 2021).

1.3 Individual

The connection between individuals and society is a key focus in moral, social, and political philosophy. Typically, we see the individual as a rational, self-sufficient being who can reflect on their actions, make moral choices, and act with intention. Thinkers in the classical liberal tradition, such as John Stuart Mill, argue that individual freedom is crucial for personal growth and societal advancement, provided it doesn't harm others (Mill, 1859/2001). In modern discussions, the idea of autonomy remains a cornerstone of conversations about rights, dignity, and justice (Christman, 2018). Yet, philosophers and social theorists remind us that individuals aren't just isolated beings; they're influenced by social structures, cultural norms, and community ties. Charles Taylor (2019) points out that our identities are shaped through dialogue; we form our sense of self through recognition and interaction with others in our communities. Emile Durkheim also argues that society isn't just a bunch of individuals but a moral entity with norms and institutions that guide behaviour and foster social unity (Durkheim, 1893/2014).

Thus, the relationship between the individual and society is one of dynamic interdependence. Society provides the language, norms, and institutions that enable personal growth, while individuals drive social change through innovation, critique, and moral action. Contemporary communitarian thinkers like Amitai Etzioni (2021) assert that a thriving society needs to strike a balance between individual rights and social responsibilities. Similarly, social contract theorists like John Rawls (1999) argue that fair social institutions should be designed to safeguard basic freedoms while encouraging fairness in cooperation.

1.4 Development and National Development

Development is a complex idea that goes far beyond just economic growth; it encompasses improvements in human well-being, social justice, institutional strength, and sustainability. In the past, development was often measured by increases in Gross Domestic Product (GDP), but today's scholars focus more on human capabilities, freedom, and overall quality of life. For example, Amartya Sen (1999) describes development as the broadening of essential human freedoms, like access to education, healthcare, and political engagement, rather than just a rise in income. This "capability approach" has had a profound impact on how we think about and shape global development policies.

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

The United Nations Development Programme (2023) also frames development as a way to expand people's choices and enhance their potential, which is reflected in the Human Development Index (HDI). This index combines indicators of income, education, and life expectancy. Modern views on development also stress the importance of sustainability, acknowledging that we need to meet today's needs without jeopardizing the future (United Nations, 2015).

On the flip side, national development specifically looks at the overall progress of a nation in economic, political, and socio-cultural areas. It includes economic growth, fair resource distribution, political stability, infrastructure improvements, technological advancements, and robust institutions. The World Bank (2023) points out that sustainable national development hinges on inclusive growth, poverty alleviation, investment in human capital, and effective governance. So, national development isn't just about big economic numbers; it's also about enhancing citizens' quality of life and fostering social unity.

Recent research emphasizes that national development in the 21st century must tackle issues like globalization, climate change, digital transformation, and inequality (Stiglitz, 2020). For developing countries, national development also means addressing these challenges while striving for progress (UNDP, 2023).

In a nutshell, development is all about improving human well-being and advancing society as a whole. On the other hand, national development focuses on coordinated efforts within a country to drive economic growth, promote social fairness, strengthen institutions, and ensure sustainable progress. Nowadays, there's a strong emphasis on the idea that real development should be centered around people, inclusive of everyone, and mindful of the environment. 100% of your text is likely AI-generated

1.5 Theoretical Framework

Every philosophical evaluation requires a strong theoretical foundation. In this piece, we mainly focus on communitarian ethics as a moral theory, examining its relationship with individualism and what that means for national development. Communitarianism, as a social philosophy emphasizes the significance of the community over the individual. It challenges the liberal notion of the self as an independent, self-sufficient being, arguing that our identities are shaped by our social roles, community ties, and cultural traditions (Etzioni, 1993; Sandel, 1982). In African thought, this is especially clear in how personhood is perceived; an individual is truly acknowledged only through their participation in communal life (Menkiti, 1984). Therefore, communitarian ethics highlights solidarity, shared responsibilities, and the common good as the bedrock of moral and political order (Gyekye, 1997).

On the other hand, individualism, which is rooted in liberal political philosophy, places a high value on individual rights, freedoms, and autonomy. Thinkers like John Locke and Immanuel Kant argued that individuals possess inherent dignity and inalienable rights that should not be sacrificed for the sake of the community (Kant, 1991; Locke, 1963). This viewpoint has had a significant impact on Western democratic traditions and modern concepts of human rights.

The tension between communitarianism and individualism is the main theoretical challenge of this study. On one side, uncritical communitarianism can suppress individuality, dissent, and creativity. Conversely, an excessive focus on individualism can weaken social bonds and erode the ethical foundation for collective progress. The approach taken here is a dialectical

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

synthesis, recognizing the vital role of communal values while also celebrating the unique worth of the individual. This balance offers a promising ethical framework for sustainable national development in Africa.

2.1 Communitarian Ethics in African Philosophy

African philosophy provides a vibrant backdrop for communitarian ethics, in which the community is not merely a social structure but the core of moral identity in its totality. From this viewpoint, individuals are seen as deeply connected to the community relationships that shape their identities, responsibilities, and values.

A shining example of communitarian ethics in Africa is the concept of Ubuntu, which hails from Southern Africa. It beautifully underscores our interconnectedness and the inherent dignity of every individual. The saying, "umuntu ngumuntu ngabantu," which translates to "a person is a person through other persons" (Tutu, 1999) is a moral framework places a strong emphasis on compassion, reciprocity, and solidarity as the foundation for human well-being and social harmony.

In the Yorùbá philosophical tradition, we find a similar idea in the concept of Omolúàbí. An Omolúàbí is someone who embodies strong character traits like integrity, respect for others, communal responsibility, and self-discipline (Akinola, 2015). Here, living ethically isn't just about following abstract rules; it's about how well individuals reflect the virtues that support community life. Closely related is the Yorùbá idea of Alájọbí, which emphasizes kinship solidarity, connecting people through ancestral ties and shared responsibilities, and highlighting the moral importance of belonging (Adebayo, 2025).

These indigenous perspectives resonate with Menkiti's (1984) view that personhood develops gradually through social interactions and moral accountability, rather than being a mere byproduct of biological existence. On the other hand, Gyekye (1997) cautions against extreme forms of communitarianism, advocating for a balanced approach that honors individual autonomy while acknowledging communal responsibilities.

2.2 The Individual in the Light of Communitarian Ethics

The role of the individual in communitarian ethics is both crucial and somewhat contentious. African communitarian thought highlights that individuals aren't merely isolated beings; they are moral agents whose identities are shaped by their social ties. However, this doesn't imply that the individual gets overshadowed by the community. Instead, we should view individuality and a sense of belonging as two sides of the same coin. Menkiti (1984) takes a daring position, suggesting that personhood isn't something we inherit but something we cultivate through our engagement in community life. He argues that the community bestows moral recognition upon individuals as they demonstrate responsibility, respect, and a willingness to assist others. While this perspective underscores the significance of the community, it can sometimes overlook the intrinsic worth of the individual.

Conversely, Gyekye (1992, 1997) presents a more nuanced view, recognizing that while the community influences our identities, individuals also possess their own dignity, rationality, and moral agency. For Gyekye, communal responsibilities and individual rights are intertwined; both are essential for fostering a just and flourishing society. In practical terms, communitarian ethics encourages individuals to strike a balance between their personal ambitions and their obligations to the community. The Yorùbá concept of Omolúàbí embodies this balance beautifully, as a person is valued not only for their

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

personal traits like honesty and discipline but also for their contributions to the community's welfare (Akinola, 2015). The Yorùbá philosophy of Omolúàbí is deeply rooted in values such as moral integrity, respect, communal duty, and harmonious living with others. In contrast, communitarian ethics emphasizes that an individual's moral identity is closely linked to their community, often prioritizing the needs of the group over extreme individualism.

The term Omolúàbí, which means "a child born of good character," captures the essence of what it means to be an ideal Yorùbá person. This concept is all about living a life filled with moral discipline, a strong sense of community, and a deep respect for the values that hold society together. The four principles: Ìwà, Respect, Solidarity, and Truthfulness/Trustworthiness, are central to this philosophy. In Yorùbá thought, Ìwà (Character) is the bedrock of personhood. The saying Ìwà l'ẹwà ("character is beauty") emphasizes that true worth isn't about looks, wealth, or power, but rather about moral integrity (Abimbola, 1975). An Omolúàbí is someone whose Ìwà pẹ̀lẹ́ (gentle, upright character) reflects qualities like honesty, patience, humility, and self-control.

Good character goes beyond being a personal trait; it has a ripple effect on the community. A person with bad character (ìwà búburú) can bring shame and disorder to their family and society. Conversely, someone with good character nurtures trust and social harmony. Thus, Ìwà is the foundation of the Omolúàbí ideal; it influences how one is perceived, remembered, and integrated into the community. In Yorùbá culture, being an Omolúàbí means embodying good character, integrity, and a sense of social responsibility. An Omolúàbí isn't just someone with personal virtues; they actively work towards the well-being of their community.

In Yorùbá culture, respect (ìyì, which translates to honour or dignity; òwò meaning reverence or deference) is a core moral duty that influences how we engage with one another in our communities (Gbadegesin, 1991). This respect manifests in various ways: greeting elders with the right etiquette, listening carefully to those in authority, honouring family hierarchies, and preserving cultural traditions. It's crucial to understand that respect isn't seen as a weakness; instead, it's about recognizing the dignity and roles of others, which helps keep harmony intact. For example, children are taught that "ìbá nii mu kí ọmọ ó kí agba" (it is respect that prompts a child to greet an elder). Therefore, an Omolúàbí is expected to show respect not only to those in authority but also to peers and even younger individuals, as respect is a mutual exchange that underpins the very essence of communal life.

Communitarianism emphasizes that individuals are interconnected within a web of relationships, including family, community, traditions, and institutions (Sandel, 1982; Etzioni, 1993). Within this framework, respect involves recognizing the importance of communal norms, cultural authority, and social institutions that promote collective well-being. Communitarian ethics suggest that personal freedom should not eclipse the needs of the community; thus, showing respect for others and for shared traditions becomes a moral duty. For instance, Gyekye (1997) notes that in African communitarian thought, elders and traditions act as guardians of wisdom, and honoring them is essential for maintaining the community's integrity.

Both perspectives (omoluabi and communitarian ethics) highlight that individuals exist within structured social frameworks, such as elders, leaders, family heads, and peers, whose

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

roles deserve recognition. Respect serves as a safeguard against conflict, strengthens social bonds, and allows individuals to flourish within the community. There's a pressing need for intergenerational balance. In Yorùbá society, elders symbolize wisdom and tradition, playing a vital role in guiding the community forward.

When we talk about the balance between moral obligation and personal freedom, an Omolúàbí prioritizes the needs of others over their own self-interest. Similarly, communitarian ethics emphasizes the importance of community responsibilities rather than extreme individualism. In the Omolúàbí context, respect goes beyond just being polite; it's an ethical recognition of our interconnectedness, which is a fundamental aspect of communitarianism. Both viewpoints stress that we truly understand our humanity when we acknowledge, respect, and uplift the dignity of those around us in our community.

The Yorùbá moral ideal of Omolúàbí is about more than just individual virtue; it underscores the significance of supporting one another and taking responsibility. As Balogun (2007) notes, solidarity is a crucial element in how the Yorùbá perceive personhood, highlighting that a person's true worth is revealed through their contributions to the community. You can see this spirit of solidarity in practices like communal labor (àárò), mutual aid societies (ẹgbẹ́), and collaborative efforts in farming, construction, and celebrations. These activities bring to life the saying "Àjọṣe ni agbára" ("cooperation is strength"), perfectly reflecting the Yorùbá belief that no one can truly succeed alone.

In this context, solidarity within the Omolúàbí framework isn't just a kind gesture; it's a core ethical duty that defines one's moral standing in the community. Communitarianism teaches us that our identities are deeply intertwined with a network of social connections, where our sense of self and our moral values develop together (Sandel, 1982; Etzioni, 1993). In the realm of African philosophy, thinkers like Gyekye (1997) and Wiredu (1996) stress that solidarity is an essential communal value: a person is recognized as such only when they fulfill their responsibilities to others. Here, solidarity represents shared responsibility and collective well-being, echoing the African saying shared by Mbiti (1969): "I am because we are".

There's a fascinating connection between the concept of omolúàbí and communitarian ethics. Both ideas emphasize the importance of caring for one another. An Omolúàbí is judged by how much they contribute to the well-being of their community, much like how communitarian ethics place responsibilities above individual rights (Balogun, 2007; Etzioni, 1993). In Yorùbá culture, there's a saying: "Ènìyàn l'áṣọ ènìyàn," which translates to "people are one another's covering." This beautifully illustrates our interconnectedness. Communitarian ethics echo this sentiment, suggesting that true human flourishing comes from shared values and working together (Gyekye, 1997). Solidarity isn't just a nice thought; it's a moral obligation that unites us. These principles are essential for both personal dignity and the overall harmony of the community (Oladipo, 1992). In Yorùbá society, people are seen not just as individuals but as moral agents whose actions can either strengthen or weaken the community's bonds.

Solidarity goes beyond mere acts of charity in both views; it's a core moral necessity for maintaining justice, harmony, and our very existence (Wiredu, 1996). Both traditions highlight that solidarity is vital for addressing issues like poverty, conflict, and inequality—problems that can't be solved by individuals alone but require a collective effort. Similarly, the Ubuntu philosophy recognizes that while each person has their own dignity, their

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

success is intricately linked to the well-being of others (Tutu, 1999). Ubuntu, a rich ethical framework from Southern Africa, is often captured in the phrase "Umuntu ngumuntu ngabantu," meaning "A person is a person through other persons." At its core, Ubuntu underscores the importance of relationships, mutual care, compassion, solidarity, and respect for human dignity (Tutu, 1999; Ramose, 2002). The essence of Ubuntu is grounded in the belief that our identities and moral values are shaped by our communities, aligning perfectly with the communitarian perspective.

This concept of Ubuntu resonates with the communitarian viewpoint, which emphasizes how interconnected we all are. Ubuntu serves both as a way of describing what it means to be human and as a guiding principle for how we ought to live. Communitarianism takes a critical look at liberal individualism, which tends to see people mainly as independent rights-holders (Sandel, 1982; Etzioni, 1993). Instead, it underscores that our identities are shaped by our social ties, traditions, and shared values. Communitarian ethics promotes the idea of shared responsibility, solidarity, and prioritizing the common good over individual interests.

A person truly becomes human through their relationships with others (umuntu ngumuntu ngabantu) (Ramose, 2002). The self is "encumbered," moulded by social roles, traditions, and connections (Sandel, 1982). Both perspectives reject the notion of isolated individualism, affirming that our sense of self is deeply rooted in social contexts. The community is at the heart of our existence, and individuals can only thrive when their community thrives (Mbiti, 1969; Tutu, 1999). Collective goods and shared traditions play a crucial role in shaping our morality and identity (Etzioni, 1993). Additionally, both viewpoints stress the significance of community and the common good, reinforcing the belief that we are indeed stronger together.

3 Communitarian Ethics and National Development

Back in the day, many theories about development tied progress closely to economic growth, which was usually gauged by things like Gross Domestic Product (GDP), per capita income, or how industrialized a country was. Classical and neoclassical economists were convinced that if the economy continued to grow, the benefits would eventually "trickle down" to improve living standards (Todaro & Smith, 2015). From this angle, development was mostly viewed as a numbers game, assessed through national output, technological advancements, and boosts in productivity.

However, a lot of critics think this perspective is too simplistic. Just because GDP is climbing doesn't mean that issues like poverty, inequality, or social exclusion are being tackled (Sen, 1999). Take resource-rich countries in Africa, for example; they can show impressive economic growth without any real gains in welfare or governance (Ake, 1996). So, while economic progress is definitely important, it shouldn't be the only measure we use to evaluate development.

The human development approach came about as a response to the limitations of models that focus solely on growth. Thinkers like Amartya Sen (1999) were at the forefront of this perspective, which emphasizes that true development is about enhancing human capabilities and the freedoms that enable people to lead healthy, educated, and dignified lives. Panth (2023) supports this idea, describing development as a complex process that encourages economic, technological, social, and institutional changes aimed at improving overall well-being. Nielsen and Alderson (2022) provide evidence for this, showing a strong connection between national development and human well-being, particularly in low-

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

income countries where rising incomes lead to real improvements in health, education, and life expectancy. Furthermore, Maela, Sebake, and Mathekgana (2024) stress that sustainability and equity are essential elements, arguing that development should go beyond just material gains; it's also about ensuring political stability, social justice, and the well-being of individuals. Todaro describes development as a multifaceted journey that involves reshaping the entire social and economic landscape. It's not just about increasing income; it also includes changes in institutions, social structures, administrative practices, and attitudes that empower people to improve their lives. According to Panth (2021), development is fundamentally about enhancing well-being, improving quality of life, fostering social progress, expanding human capabilities, and ensuring freedom of choice, rather than merely increasing material wealth or income. Chatterjee (2024) highlights that development should be viewed through the lens of people (or societies) gaining more opportunities in areas like health, education, a decent standard of living, and the freedom to make personal choices, rather than just fixating on Gross Domestic Product (GDP) figures.

In the Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance, development is characterized as "a process of disproportionate growth of systems." When we explore economics, development unfolds as a complex journey that triggers economic, technological, social, and institutional changes, all geared towards boosting the wealth of nations and enhancing the overall wellbeing of people in society. National development delivers significant results, no doubt about it. National development is "empirically necessary and sufficient for high levels of human wellbeing." It underscores a strong connection between economic growth and improvements in wellbeing, especially in low-income countries, while also highlighting the vital role of state capability (or organizational competence) in enhancing wellbeing. Maela et al. (2024) points out that in the South African context, development is a multifaceted process that includes socio-economic growth alongside institutional, political, and social changes aimed at elevating living standards, reducing inequality, and promoting inclusion. They stress that development is multidimensional, going beyond mere GDP to encompass factors like quality of life, equity, and sustainability.

When we search a little deeper than just the usual economic and human-centered approaches, African philosophy brings some truly unique perspectives on development. Take John Mbiti's well-known quote from 1969: "I am because we are, and since we are, therefore I am." It beautifully illustrates the idea that development is inherently social. It implies that an individual's success is closely linked to the well-being of their community. In 1997, Kwame Gyekye introduced the concept of moderate communitarianism, which finds a sweet spot between community solidarity and individual dignity. For Gyekye, real development respects cultural traditions of cooperation and shared responsibility while also safeguarding individual rights and creativity. This viewpoint helps us avoid the pitfalls of radical communitarianism, which can restrict personal freedom, and excessive individualism, which can erode community bonds.

In Yorùbá culture, the idea of Omolúàbí serves as a moral and ethical foundation for development. It highlights the significance of character, responsibility, honesty, and respect for others as vital for both personal and societal growth (Akinola, 2015). Here, development goes beyond just technical aspects; it's about cultivating good character and promoting social harmony. Similarly, in Southern Africa, the Ubuntu philosophy emphasizes that true progress arises from interdependence, mutual care, and solidarity (Tutu, 1999). These perspectives remind us that, from an African viewpoint, development includes not only

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

material progress but also moral and communal well-being. They provide a refreshing lens through which to evaluate national development, intertwining economic growth with cultural identity, ethical responsibility, and collective flourishing.

In governance, these communitarian values encourage leaders to prioritize the community's best interests over personal gain. Historically, African political traditions have held leaders to high moral standards, viewing leadership as a trust bestowed upon them by the community (Ake, 1996). This viewpoint emphasizes that governance should be all about participation, accountability, and a genuine focus on the community's well-being. When we talk about social justice and equity, communitarian ethics really shines by promoting inclusivity and recognizing that we're all part of a network of relationships, responsibilities, and mutual support.

At the policy level, these communitarian principles can guide our development priorities. For instance, investing in education, healthcare, and poverty reduction isn't just a duty of the government; it's a moral responsibility rooted in our shared community values. On the flip side, policies that are driven purely by individual self-interest can widen the gap of inequality and jeopardize social stability.

That said, we need to tread carefully; applying communitarian ethics without a critical lens can lead to conformity and stifle innovation. So, to achieve sustainable development, we need a thoughtful mix of community solidarity and the safeguarding of individual creativity and rights. This balance ensures that while the community serves as the bedrock of our moral lives, individuals are also empowered to make significant contributions.

National development can be seen as a holistic transformation of a country, focusing on economic, social, political, and cultural progress that ultimately enhances the lives of its citizens. This journey requires deliberate efforts from the government in areas like education, healthcare, infrastructure, and governance, all while fostering ethical and community-centered values in public life. As Nielsen and Alderson (2022) highlight, national development is crucial for improving human welfare, especially when economic growth is coupled with institutional and social reforms. For Africa, this means that governments must implement policies that not only enhance economic performance but also address social justice, cultural unity, and sustainability. Therefore, national development isn't just about accumulating wealth; it's about weaving together the values of the community.

When we explore deeper into communitarian ethics and its connection to national development, it's important to balance its advantages with its drawbacks. On one side, communitarian values offer a vital moral base that fosters social unity and progress. However, if we apply these values without careful consideration, they can also bring about some serious issues.

One of the key strengths of communitarian ethics is its ability to nurture solidarity and social integration. By emphasizing collective responsibility and shared values, communitarianism creates an ethical framework that promotes collaboration in reaching national goals. It envisions governance that focuses on people and is firmly anchored in the common good (Gyekye, 1997; Wiredu, 1992). Moreover, its focus on virtues like compassion, respect, and reciprocity, as seen in concepts like Ubuntu and Omolúàbí, aligns well with today's demands for participatory democracy and inclusive development (Tutu, 1999; Akinola, 2015).

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

Yet, communitarian ethics does have its limitations. According to Irele (1993), "an intense emphasis on communal conformity can hinder individual autonomy, suppress dissent, and even stifle innovation". More extreme interpretations of communitarianism, as noted by Menkiti (1984), risk reducing individuals to mere extensions of the community, leaving little room for personal freedom or creativity. In practice, we've witnessed how authoritarian regimes can manipulate communitarian ideals to justify oppressive policies, all while claiming to uphold communal values (Ake, 1996).

To steer clear of these challenges, this paper proposes a dialectical synthesis—a thoughtful blend of community solidarity and individual rights. Gyekye's (1997) concept of moderate communitarianism serves as a useful framework, emphasizing the significance of community while also valuing the unique worth of each person. This balanced perspective allows communitarian ethics to act as both a moral compass and a practical tool for fostering sustainable development in Africa.

Exploring communitarian ethics and the individual's role in national development reveals several key insights. First off, communitarian ethics lays a strong moral groundwork for nation-building. By prioritizing solidarity, shared responsibility, and mutual care, these principles create the ethical foundation necessary for social cohesion, political stability, and just governance (Wiredu, 1992; Gyekye, 1997). This is particularly crucial in African societies, where ethnic and cultural diversity can often pose challenges to unity.

Moreover, the individual plays a vital role within the communitarian viewpoint. While African philosophy frequently places the community at the heart of personhood, moderate communitarian thinkers like Gyekye (1992) contend that individuals possess inherent dignity and autonomy. Thus, achieving national development isn't about completely sacrificing individual needs for the community; it's about striking a balance between personal freedom and collective responsibility. Additionally, development policies grounded in communitarian ethics tend to be more inclusive and people-centred. When development is seen as a collective endeavour, encompassing areas like education, healthcare, and poverty alleviation, it transforms into a moral duty rather than merely an economic or political goal (Sen, 1999). However, the research also highlights that uncritical communitarianism can have adverse effects, such as stifling dissent, suppressing individuality, and enabling authoritarian regimes to exploit communal ideals.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has explored the relationship between communitarian ethics and individualism in the context of national development. It highlights how communitarian ethics, deeply embedded in African philosophical traditions like Ubuntu and Omolúàbí, are essential for fostering social unity, inclusive governance, and fair progress. At the same time, it underscores the importance of individual autonomy and creativity, which are vital for driving innovation, justice, and sustainable development.

The point addressed is that national development cannot flourish by focusing solely on either community or individualism. Extreme communitarianism can stifle personal freedom, while unchecked individualism might weaken the ethical ties that bind societies. A balanced approach, as proposed by Gyekye (1997), suggests that moderate communitarianism can effectively merge communal solidarity with individual dignity.
Based on these insights, this paper offers the following recommendations:

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

Policy Integration: African development policies should be rooted in communal values like solidarity, justice, and mutual care, while also protecting individual rights and freedoms.
Ethical Leadership: Political leaders should embody communitarian principles by prioritizing the common good, ensuring accountability, and resisting the authoritarian misuse of communal ideals.

Education and Civic Culture: Educational systems should focus on character development through indigenous ethical concepts such as Omolúàbí and Ubuntu, nurturing responsible citizenship that balances rights with responsibilities.

Inclusive Development Models: When we think about development strategies, it's essential to put people at the heart of the conversation. We should focus on healthcare, education, and tackling poverty as shared community goals, rather than just viewing them as economic challenges. In essence, this study deepens our understanding by showing that a thoughtful mix of community values and personal freedom provides a solid philosophical foundation for national development.

References

Adebayo, A. (2025). Alajobi (Kinsman) and Alale (Town Founding Deities): A basis for community development and social justice in Yoruba tradition. *Journal of Philosophy, Policy and Strategic Studies*, 1(4), 88–98.

Ake, C. (1996). Democracy and development in Africa. Brookings Institution Press.

Akinola, A. (2015). The Omolúàbí ethos and moral education in Yorùbá culture. *Journal of Pan-African Studies*, 8(3), 24–39.

Bell, D. A. (2020). Communitarianism. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2020 ed.).

Christman, J. (2018). Autonomy in moral and political philosophy. In E. N. Zalta (Ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Summer 2018 ed.).

Durkheim, E. (2014). *The division of labor in society* (W. D. Halls, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1893)

Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2026). Ethics. In Encyclopaedia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/topic/ethics-philosophy

Etzioni, A. (1993). The spirit of community: Rights, responsibilities, and the communitarian agenda. Crown.

Etzioni, A. (2021). *Reclaiming patriotism.* University of Virginia Press.

Gaus, G. (2021). *The open society and its complexities.* Oxford University Press.

Gyekye, K. (1992). Person and community in African thought. In K. Wiredu & K. Gyekye (Eds.), *Person and community: Ghanaian philosophical studies,* I (pp. 101–122). Council for Research in Values and Philosophy.

Gyekye, K. (1997). *Tradition and modernity: Philosophical reflections on the African experience.* Oxford University Press.

Hayes, P., Fitzpatrick, N., & Ferrández, J. (2025). From applied ethics and ethical principles to virtue and narrative in AI practices. AI and Ethics, 5, 1217–1239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00472-z

Irele, A. (1993). In praise of alienation. Transition, 61, 24–32.

Kant, I. (1991). Political writings (H. Reiss, Ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Locke, J. (1963). Two treatises of government (P. Laslett, Ed.). Cambridge University Press.

MacIntyre, A. (2007). After virtue: A study in moral theory (3rd ed.). University of Notre Dame Press. (Original work published 1981)

Maela, M. J., Sebake, B. K., & Mathekgana, M. E. (2024). Developmental state as a catalyst for sustainable development: Praxis of local government. Journal of Public Administration, 59(1.1), 196–212. https://doi.org/10.55190/JPADA.2024.316

Mbiti, J. S. (1969). African religions and philosophy. Heinemann.

Menkiti, I. A. (1984). Person and community in African traditional thought. In R. A. Wright (Ed.), African philosophy: An introduction (pp. 171–181). University Press of America.

Mill, J. S. (2001). On liberty. Batoche Books. (Original work published 1859)

Nielsen, F., & Alderson, A. S. (2022). National development delivers: And how! And how? Social Science Research, 102, 102632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2021.102632

Panth, R. (2023). Theories of development. In A. Farazmand (Ed.), Global encyclopedia of public administration, public policy, and governance (pp. 1–5). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-66252-3_939

Rawls, J. (1999). A theory of justice (Rev. ed.). Harvard University Press. (Original work published 1971)

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp 100 -114
©: A. M. Adebayo
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.8

Sandel, M. (1982). Liberalism and the limits of justice. Cambridge University Press.
Sandel, M. J. (2020). The tyranny of merit: What's become of the common good? Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
Sebo, J. (2025). The moral circle: Who matters, what matters, and why. W. W. Norton & Company.
Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Alfred A. Knopf.
Stiglitz, J. E. (2020). People, power, and profits: Progressive capitalism for an age of discontent. W. W. Norton.
Taylor, C. (2019). The language animal: The full shape of the human linguistic capacity. Harvard University Press.
Ten Have, H. (2022). Global bioethics: An introduction (2nd ed.). Routledge.
Thakar, K. (2025). Ethical foundations and evolving challenges in modern research. International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, 12(7), 1574–1579. https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2025.120700160
Todaro, M. P., & Smith, S. C. (2015). Economic development (12th ed.). Pearson.
Tutu, D. (1999). No future without forgiveness. Doubleday.
United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. United Nations.
United Nations Development Programme. (2023). Human development report 2023/2024. UNDP.
Wiredu, K. (1992). Moral foundations of an African culture. In K. Wiredu & K. Gyekye (Eds.), Person and community: Ghanaian philosophical studies, I (pp. 193–206). Council for Research in Values and Philosophy.
World Bank. (2023). World development report 2023: Migrants, refugees, and societies. World Bank.