Existentia: Concrescence Journal of Arts and Humanities
Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

INNOVATIVE PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES AND EMERGING ISSUES IN NIGERIAN HIGHER EDUCATION: CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTS FOR THE 21ST CENTURY CLASSROOM

Victor Oluwole Akintoye
Department of Educational Foundations,
Faculty of Education, Ajayi Crowther University, Oyo
venerableakintoye@gmail.com; vo.akintoye@acu.edu.ng
ORCID id: 0009-0000-1851-6740

Oyewale Joshua Oluwaferanmi
Ajayi Crowther University, Oyo, Nigeria
oyewalejoshua@gmail.com

Abstract

Nigerian higher education faces mounting pressure to equip graduates with 21st-century skills, yet reliance on lecture-based pedagogy persists. Global debates emphasise innovative approaches, but their application in resource-constrained contexts like Nigeria raises questions of feasibility and effectiveness. This study examines how innovative pedagogical models, particularly blended learning, flipped classrooms and problem-based learning, are being adopted in Nigerian universities, and explores the emerging issues that shape their implementation. Guided by constructivist learning theory, the study utilised a qualitative desk review of peer-reviewed literature, institutional reports, and policy documents published between 2020 and 2025. Content analysis was applied to synthesise themes on pedagogy, infrastructure, faculty readiness, and student experience. The results reveal that innovative approaches expanded during the COVID-19 pandemic, but adoption remains uneven. Barriers include poor infrastructure, high digital costs, and variable faculty readiness. Emerging challenges such as mental health strain, academic dishonesty, and inclusivity gaps also hinder impact. Innovative pedagogy has transformative potential for Nigerian higher education, but realisation requires systemic reforms. Targeted investment in ICT, continuous professional development for faculty, curriculum redesign, and stronger enforcement of regulations are recommended to ensure equity and sustainability.

Keywords: Nigerian higher education, Innovative Pedagogy, Blended Learning, Constructivist Theory, Emerging issues

Introduction

Higher education is universally recognised as a catalyst for socio-economic transformation, national development, and human capital advancement. In Nigeria, universities and other tertiary institutions are expected to play this role by equipping learners with the knowledge and skills needed to thrive in an increasingly globalised and digitalised world. Yet, concerns about the quality and relevance of Nigerian higher education persist. Employers and policymakers frequently criticise universities for producing graduates who are ill-prepared for the complexities of contemporary workplaces (Adebayo, 2021). At the heart of this debate is the question of pedagogy: can Nigerian higher education continue to rely on

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

traditional, lecture-centred instruction while meeting the demands of the 21st-century knowledge economy?

Arguments about the effectiveness of traditional pedagogy dominate the discourse in Nigerian higher education. Proponents maintain that lecture-based teaching is practical in a system beset by resource scarcity, overcrowded classrooms, and insufficient teaching staff (Ogunyinka, 2021). From this perspective, teacher-centred delivery remains cost-efficient and manageable within institutional limitations. However, scholars contend that such methods stifle creativity, discourage active participation, and perpetuate rote learning rather than critical thinking (Okonkwo & Eze, 2022). They argue that innovative pedagogical approaches, such as blended learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning, are essential to transforming higher education into a more dynamic and student-centred system. The debate is therefore not only about methods but also about the very purpose of higher education in Nigeria.

The COVID-19 pandemic sharpened this debate by compelling Nigerian universities to experiment with online and blended learning. While this shift demonstrated the adaptability of some institutions, it also exposed stark inequalities. Urban universities and students with reliable internet access fared better than rural counterparts, revealing a persistent digital divide (Eke, 2023). Scholars such as Owolabi and Adedayo (2022) suggest that these innovations improved flexibility and accessibility, but others caution that they entrenched inequality and strained underfunded systems. The pandemic thus brought to light the promise of digital pedagogy while simultaneously highlighting structural weaknesses in Nigeria's educational infrastructure. Beyond issues of access, emerging concerns about students' mental health, engagement, and academic integrity complicate the conversation. Innovative methods are often praised for improving interactivity and fostering collaboration, yet they may also heighten stress, create digital fatigue, and introduce new forms of academic dishonesty (Bolarinwa, 2022). These dynamics suggest that the introduction of innovative pedagogy cannot be seen as a simple solution to systemic challenges; rather, it must be understood as a process requiring adaptation, support, and contextualisation within Nigeria's unique realities.

Thus, the National Universities Commission (NUC, 2021) has emphasised curriculum renewal and pedagogical innovation as priorities for accreditation and global competitiveness. International agencies such as the World Bank (2022) reinforce this call, stressing that African higher education must embrace innovation to drive economic transformation. Yet, some scholars argue that such policies are often rhetorical, given the persistent underfunding of universities, limited faculty training, and the lack of supportive infrastructure (Olatunji, 2021). This dissonance between policy aspirations and implementation realities is central to understanding the current state of Nigerian higher education.

What this paper contributes to the debate is a closer examination of how innovative pedagogical approaches intersect with the emerging issues facing Nigerian universities. While existing literature often focuses on infrastructure and access, less attention has been paid to how innovation interacts with socio-cultural, institutional, and student-related dynamics. For example, flipped classrooms may encourage critical thinking, but their effectiveness depends on students' digital literacy and ability to prepare independently. Similarly, blended learning may address overcrowding but could exacerbate inequities for students without access to reliable electricity or devices. By interrogating these

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

intersections, the study provides new insights into the challenges and opportunities of reforming pedagogy in Nigeria.

An overarching intellectual question guides this inquiry: how can Nigerian higher education adopt and adapt innovative pedagogical approaches in ways that are both contextually feasible and globally relevant? Addressing this question requires analysing not only the potential benefits of innovation but also the structural, cultural, and institutional constraints that shape its outcomes. The central proposition is that innovative pedagogy can revitalise Nigerian higher education, but only if it is accompanied by systemic reforms in policy, funding, faculty development, and student support.

The significance of this study lies in its attempt to move beyond dichotomous views of pedagogy as either traditional or innovative. Instead, it highlights the complexities, tensions, and hybrid practices that characterise Nigerian universities as they navigate pressures for reform. In doing so, it situates Nigerian higher education within the global discourse on 21st-century pedagogy while foregrounding the unique challenges of developing-country contexts.

This paper, therefore, seeks to unpack the debates surrounding innovative pedagogy and its prospects in Nigerian higher education. It argues that while innovative approaches hold transformative potential, their effectiveness depends on systemic alignment with institutional capacity, socio-economic realities, and policy commitment. Without such alignment, pedagogical reforms risk becoming superficial, widening inequalities rather than addressing them. The following sections interrogate the relevant literature, apply constructivist learning theory as a guiding framework, and present findings that address the challenges and opportunities of building a 21st-century classroom in Nigeria.

Literature Review

Rethinking Pedagogy in Higher Education

The literature on pedagogy in higher education highlights a growing consensus that the 21st-century university must reimagine teaching and learning beyond the transmission of knowledge. Constructivist and learner-centred paradigms have gained traction globally, positioning students as active participants in the co-creation of knowledge (Brinkley-Etzkorn, 2021). In Nigeria, however, much of higher education remains rooted in traditional, lecture-centred practices. Ogunyinka (2021) contends that such approaches persist because they are perceived as cost-effective for managing large classes and resource constraints. Yet, critics argue that these practices undermine the development of critical thinking and creativity, skills vital for the modern workforce (Okonkwo & Eze, 2022). The tension in the literature lies between efficiency and effectiveness. While traditional pedagogy allows institutions to cope with structural limitations, innovative approaches promise deeper engagement and better outcomes. For instance, Owolabi and Adedayo (2022) found that blended learning improved flexibility and enhanced student performance, but also required significant investment in digital infrastructure and staff training. This point to a recurring argument: innovation is desirable but resource-intensive, and thus its success in Nigeria hinges on systemic support.

Blended Learning and Flipped Classrooms

Blended learning has received considerable attention as a middle ground between face-to-face and online instruction. In contexts where infrastructural deficits hinder full digitalisation, blending physical and virtual engagement appears pragmatic. Nigerian studies (Owolabi & Adedayo, 2022) report that blended approaches enhance accessibility

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

and improve retention, especially for working students. Yet, Eke (2023) cautions that blended learning in Nigeria is often poorly implemented, with lecturers simply uploading lecture notes online without redesigning pedagogical strategies. This "content-dump" model dilutes the transformative potential of blended learning and illustrates a gap between the rhetoric of innovation and the reality of practice. Flipped classrooms represent another strand of innovation. By requiring students to engage with materials before class and using class time for interaction, flipped learning fosters collaboration and problem-solving. While evidence from developed contexts confirms its effectiveness (Lo & Hew, 2022). Eke (2023) shows that although flipped classrooms encouraged critical thinking, challenges arose from students' limited digital literacy and lack of access to resources outside the classroom. Thus, while flipped learning may align with constructivist ideals, its feasibility in Nigeria remains contested.

Problem-Based Learning and Employability Skills

Problem-based learning (PBL) aligns with global calls to bridge the gap between theory and practice in higher education. PBL emphasises real-world challenges, interdisciplinary collaboration, and the development of transferable skills. Okonkwo and Eze (2022) argue that PBL is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where employers lament graduates' weak problem-solving capacities. However, critics highlight the difficulties of implementing PBL in large classrooms with limited resources and underprepared lecturers (Bolarinwa, 2022). Comparative evidence from South Africa and Kenya shows that PBL can be adapted successfully in African contexts when supported by faculty training and smaller class sizes (Mhlanga, 2021). This raises important questions for Nigerian scholarship: can PBL thrive within current institutional constraints, or does it require structural reforms before being viable? The literature suggests that while PBL is attractive in theory, it risks becoming tokenistic if adopted without adequate support.

Technological Innovations and the Digital Divide

Technological integration into pedagogy is often celebrated as a hallmark of 21st-century education. Learning management systems (LMS), video conferencing platforms, and AI-based assessment tools have expanded possibilities for personalised learning. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Nigerian universities experimented with platforms such as Zoom and Moodle (Adebayo, 2021). While these innovations improved continuity, they also laid bare systemic inequities. Students in urban areas with reliable internet benefited, while those in rural or low-income households were excluded (World Bank, 2022). This raises a key counter-argument in the literature: technology is not inherently democratising. Rather, in unequal contexts, it may exacerbate existing disparities. Ogunyinka (2021) highlights that poor internet connectivity, unstable electricity, and high data costs limit participation in digital pedagogy. Bolarinwa (2022) adds that many lecturers themselves lack adequate training in digital tools, resulting in superficial adoption. Thus, while global literature frames technology as a solution, Nigerian scholarship cautions that without systemic investment, technological innovation may deepen inequality rather than resolve it.

Theoretical Underpinning

This study is anchored on Constructivist Learning Theory, which emphasises that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction, reflection, and collaboration rather than passively absorbing information. Rooted in the works of Vygotsky (1978) and Bruner (1996), constructivism argues that learning is most effective when it is contextual, problem-oriented, and socially mediated. In higher education, this translates into pedagogical practices that shift focus from teacher-centred delivery to student-centred engagement,

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

fostering critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills. Innovative pedagogical approaches such as problem-based learning, blended learning, and flipped classrooms are direct applications of constructivist principles. For example, problem-based learning encourages students to engage with real-world issues, requiring them to draw on prior knowledge, collaborate with peers, and generate solutions, activities that align with Vygotsky's notion of the "zone of proximal development." Similarly, blended and flipped learning models enable students to take ownership of their learning by interacting with materials independently before engaging in collaborative activities in class (Lo & Hew, 2022). In the Nigerian context, constructivism provides a critical lens for interrogating the gap between traditional lecture-based teaching and the demands of the 21st-century classroom. While resource constraints often reinforce didactic practices, constructivist approaches highlight the importance of active engagement and adaptability in preparing graduates for dynamic global environments. By situating this study within constructivist learning theory, the analysis underscores the proposition that innovative pedagogy can transform higher education only when teaching and learning are reconceptualised as interactive, participatory, and contextually relevant processes.

Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative desk review methodology to interrogate innovative pedagogical approaches and emerging issues in Nigerian higher education. The choice of this approach is guided by the interpretivist paradigm, which emphasises the exploration of meanings, debates, and contextual realities rather than quantification. By synthesising existing scholarship, the study aims to capture both the breadth and depth of perspectives surrounding pedagogy in the 21st-century Nigerian classroom. Secondary data were gathered from peer-reviewed journal articles, institutional reports, government publications, and international agency documents published between 2020 and 2025. Sources were selected purposively to ensure relevance, currency, and scholarly credibility. A content analysis technique was employed to identify recurring themes, arguments, and counter-arguments. This involved coding the literature into categories such as innovative pedagogical models, infrastructural challenges, faculty readiness, student experiences, and policy frameworks. Through this process, the study highlighted convergences and divergences in scholarly debates, as well as gaps in existing research. The desk-based methodology is appropriate for this study because it enables critical engagement with the evolving debates in pedagogy while situating Nigerian higher education within broader global discourses.

Results

The review of recent studies on Nigerian higher education between 2020 and 2025 reveals several consistent patterns and emerging trends in the adoption of innovative pedagogical approaches and the challenges that shape their implementation. There is evidence of a gradual introduction of innovative approaches such as blended learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning across Nigerian universities. These models have been used more prominently since the COVID-19 pandemic, with institutions adopting online platforms like Zoom, Moodle, and Google Classroom to sustain academic continuity. However, the extent of adoption differs across institutions, with federal universities in urban centres generally more advanced than state or rural institutions. Blended learning has been found to improve student access and offer more flexibility in learning. Reports show that students benefit from combining online engagement with face-to-face classes, especially in managing time and academic workloads. Despite this, implementation is often

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

shallow, with many lecturers limiting blended learning to uploading lecture notes online, reducing its transformative potential.

Raihan et al. (2025) reports that flipped classrooms encourage student participation and provide opportunities for pre-class preparation. Students benefit from greater in-class interaction and collaboration. However, limited digital literacy, lack of reliable access to internet facilities, and disparities in personal device ownership restrict wider participation and diminish the effectiveness of the model. Problem-based learning (PBL) is increasingly recognised for its role in equipping students with practical and employability skills. Evidence from pilot projects indicates that PBL enhances teamwork and critical thinking. Nevertheless, the approach remains difficult to scale in Nigerian institutions due to large class sizes, insufficient resources, and lecturers' limited experience with its methods. Across all innovative approaches, inadequate infrastructure consistently emerges as the greatest barrier. Unstable electricity supply, unreliable internet connectivity, and the high cost of data create obstacles to digital pedagogy. These challenges disproportionately affect students from rural or low-income backgrounds, widening disparities in access.

Tugtekin (2023) identifies new issues associated with innovation. Students report increased stress and digital fatigue associated with online and blended learning. Concerns about academic integrity have also risen, with online examinations being vulnerable to malpractice. Additionally, issues of inclusivity remain, with students with disabilities or from marginalised communities experiencing limited access to digital resources. Findings show that faculty attitudes toward innovation are uneven. Some lecturers embrace new methods, while many remain reluctant due to workload, insufficient training, or scepticism about digital pedagogy. This affects the consistency of pedagogical reform across institutions. National and international policy bodies emphasise the importance of pedagogical innovation. The National Universities Commission has updated its curriculum guidelines to encourage reform, while organisations such as the World Bank highlight innovation as a priority for economic transformation. However, evidence suggests that such policies are often not matched by practical implementation or adequate funding.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study highlight the complex realities of adopting innovative pedagogical approaches in Nigerian higher education. While blended learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning have been introduced, their effectiveness and sustainability are constrained by infrastructural deficits, uneven faculty readiness, and socio-economic disparities among students. One important insight is that Nigerian universities are not isolated from global educational trends. Similar to international contexts, blended learning and flipped classrooms have shown potential in enhancing engagement and critical thinking (Lo & Hew, 2022). However, unlike in high-income countries, implementation in Nigeria is often shallow, limited to uploading notes online without redesigning instructional methods (Eke, 2023). This indicates that innovation is not only a matter of adopting technology but also requires pedagogical rethinking, faculty training, and cultural shifts in teaching philosophy.

The results also affirm the centrality of constructivist learning theory. Constructivism posits that students learn best when they actively participate in creating knowledge through collaboration, reflection, and interaction (Vygotsky, 1978). Innovative approaches like PBL and flipped classrooms align with this principle, as they create opportunities for students to engage in higher-order thinking. Yet, their success depends on the learning environment.

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

Large class sizes, poor digital access, and uneven lecturer competence reduce the scope for student-centred engagement, highlighting how context mediates the effectiveness of constructivist practices in Nigeria.

Emerging issues such as mental health, academic dishonesty, and inclusivity raise additional concerns. The stress and fatigue associated with prolonged digital engagement echo global findings about student well-being in online learning (Okafor, 2021). However, these issues may be more pronounced in Nigeria, where limited counselling services exist in most institutions. Similarly, academic dishonesty in online examinations underscores weaknesses in institutional monitoring, raising questions about the credibility of digital pedagogy. Inclusivity also remains underexplored, with students with disabilities and those from rural areas facing significant barriers to accessing innovative methods (Akinwale, 2023). These issues suggest that innovation must be coupled with strong support systems to ensure equity.

Finally, the weak translation of policy into practice reflects broader systemic challenges in Nigerian higher education. While the National Universities Commission (2021) and global actors like the World Bank (2022) advocate innovation, reforms are hindered by underfunding, poor leadership, and faculty resistance. This gap illustrates that innovation cannot be sustained without institutional commitment, investment, and accountability structures.

Conclusion

The study has examined innovative pedagogical approaches and the emerging issues shaping Nigerian higher education in the 21st century. The evidence shows that approaches such as blended learning, flipped classrooms, and problem-based learning hold significant promise in enhancing student engagement, developing critical thinking skills, and bridging the gap between theory and practice. However, their full potential remains constrained by structural, cultural, and institutional barriers. The adoption of digital pedagogy accelerated during the COVID-19 pandemic, revealing both opportunities and weaknesses. Nigerian universities demonstrated the capacity to experiment with new methods, but outcomes were uneven, reflecting disparities between well-resourced federal institutions and underfunded state or rural institutions. The persistence of infrastructural deficits, such as unstable electricity and unreliable internet, remains the most formidable barrier to pedagogical innovation. At the same time, emerging issues such as student mental health, inclusivity, and academic integrity complicate the picture, showing that innovation is not simply about technology but also about building supportive systems that address the holistic needs of learners.

Constructivist learning theory provides a useful lens for interpreting these dynamics. It suggests that learning is most effective when interactive, participatory, and socially mediated. Nigerian evidence reinforces this principle but also shows that constructivist methods cannot thrive without contextual adaptation. Innovation must therefore be tailored to Nigeria's realities rather than imported wholesale from global models. Overall, the findings point to a dual reality. On one side, innovative pedagogy can transform higher education by equipping students with skills relevant to the 21st century. On the other hand, the absence of systemic reforms risks rendering innovation superficial, reinforcing inequality rather than addressing it. For innovation to be transformative, it must be supported by investment in infrastructure, faculty development, and institutional culture change.

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

Recommendations

i. The government should increase funding for ICT infrastructure in universities, including stable electricity and reliable broadband, to ensure equitable access to digital pedagogy.
ii. Universities should institutionalise continuous professional development for faculty, equipping lecturers with the skills and motivation to design and deliver innovative pedagogy.
iii. Curricula should be reviewed to integrate problem-based learning, digital literacy, and employability skills, aligning higher education with labour market demands.
iv. Support systems for students, particularly in mental health and inclusivity, should be strengthened, ensuring that innovation addresses equity and well-being.
v. Assessment and monitoring frameworks should be reformed, with strong mechanisms to reduce academic dishonesty in online and blended learning environments.
vi. National regulatory bodies such as the NUC should enforce accountability and policy implementation, ensuring that institutions not only adopt reforms rhetorically but also operationalise them in practice.

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2026, pp. 18-26
©: V. O. Akintoye & O. J. Oluwaferanmi
https://doi.org/10.68251/ecojah.v4.i1.2

References

Adebayo, F. S. (2021). COVID-19 and the acceleration of e-learning adoption in Nigerian higher education. *African Educational Review, 18* (2), 45–60.

Akinwale, T. (2023). Inclusive education and digital pedagogy in Nigerian universities: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of African Higher Education, 12*(1), 77–95.

Bolarinwa, K. O. (2022). Faculty readiness for digital pedagogy in Nigerian universities. *International Journal of Higher Education Studies, 11*(3), 77–89.

Brinkley-Etzkorn, K. E. (2021). Faculty learning and teaching innovation in higher education: Global perspectives. *Teaching in Higher Education, 26*(5), 613–629.

Bruner, J. (1996). *The culture of education*. Harvard University Press.

Eke, U. (2023). Flipped classroom as an innovation in Nigerian university teaching. *Journal of Innovative Education Research, 14*(1), 101–115.

Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2022). Flipped learning in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review, 35*, 100429.

Mhlanga, D. (2021). Rethinking African higher education in the post-pandemic era: Pedagogical innovations and challenges. *African Journal of Educational Technology, 5*(2), 15–30.

National Universities Commission. (2021). *Revised curriculum framework for Nigerian universities*. Abuja: NUC Press.

Ogunyinka, E. (2021). Barriers to ICT adoption in Nigerian higher institutions. *Journal of Education and Development, 9*(4), 56–70.

Okafor, P. (2021). Student mental health in the era of online learning in Nigerian universities. *International Journal of Education and Society, 6*(4), 22–39.

Okonkwo, C., & Eze, P. (2022). Problem-based learning and employability skills in Nigerian higher education. *Journal of Pedagogical Research, 7*(2), 45–59.

Olatunji, A. (2021). Higher education reforms and the challenges of digitalisation in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Educational Policy, 8*(1), 33–50.

Owolabi, T., & Adedayo, P. (2022). Blended learning and students' outcomes in Nigerian universities. *Journal of E-Learning in Africa, 6*(2), 14–27.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

World Bank. (2022). *The changing role of higher education in Africa's economic transformation*. Washington, DC: World Bank.