Concrescence: Journal of Multi-Disciplinary Research

Vol. 3, No. 1, 2026, ISSN: 1595-9287

# Traditional Institutions and Community Conflict Resolution in Owerri West, Imo State

**Onyinyechi Vivian Kwaba**
*Department of Peace and Conflict Studies*
*University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences,*
*Umuagw,o Imo State*
*Onyinyechi.kwaba@uaes.edu.ng*

**Promise Okechukwu Onwuamaegbu**
*Department of Psychology*
*University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences,*
*Umuagwo, Imo State*
*Promise.onwuamagbu.uaes.edu.ng*

***Abstract***

This study investigated the role of traditional institutions in conflict resolution within Owerri West Local Government Area of Imo State. Specifically, it examined the forms of traditional institutions, their roles in managing conflicts, the strategies employed in peacebuilding, and the challenges they encounter. A descriptive survey research design was adopted, with a sample of 343 respondents drawn from a population of 3,426 across selected communities. Instruments for data collection included a structured questionnaire and focus group interviews. Data were analyzed using percentages and tables. Findings revealed that traditional institutions such as traditional rulers, councils of elders, Umu-Ada women, youth associations, age grades, and vigilante groups remain central in conflict resolution. They employ strategies such as mediation, negotiation, conciliation, and community sanctions. However, their effectiveness is undermined by government interference, refusal to accept traditional verdicts, illiteracy, and police intrusion in local affairs. The study recommends constitutional recognition for traditional rulers, reduced state interference, and capacity-building programs for community leaders.

**Keywords:** Traditional institutions, Conflict resolution, Community governance, Owerri West

## Background of the Study

Conflict is an inevitable feature of human society, but the ways communities respond to and resolve it shape their social stability and development. Across Igbo society, traditional institutions such as councils of elders, age grades, Umu-Ada women, youth groups, and vigilante organizations, have historically provided the mechanisms for dispute resolution, peacekeeping, and governance. These institutions embody cultural values, customs, and norms that bind communities together.

Owerri West, located in Imo State, has experienced recurring conflicts ranging from land disputes and chieftaincy tussles to farmer–herder clashes and political violence. Instances such as the protracted land dispute between host communities and the Federal University of Technology, Owerri (FUTO), and the chieftaincy contest in Ihiagwa Autonomous

Community, illustrate the centrality of traditional institutions in managing disputes. Despite the rise of formal legal systems, these traditional institutions remain the first point of call for most community members, as they are considered culturally legitimate and more accessible.

When human beings come together, there is bound to be conflict. This is because human beings have different backgrounds, interests and worldviews. These lead to differences in opinion. These differences in opinion could sometimes degenerate into aggression and violence. This conflict may first be noticed at the individual level, but may eventually spread to the larger society. But it is important to note that just as peace and cooperation are desirable, conflict too could be progressive when not allowed to become destructive. However, when it does get out of hand, it is necessary to break a long-term resolution based on an understanding of its underlying causes. This will ensure that resurgence does not arise. There are many definitions of conflict, which you should endeavour to seek out. However, according to Oche-Ogaba (2017), conflict is commonly defined as an open clash between two opposing groups or individuals. Usually, the disagreement may be a result of incompatibility of views, opinion or access to shared resources. In Nigeria, many of the conflicts bedevilling the polity have been communal and ethnic based. Therefore, conflict management is the practice of being able to identify and manage conflicts sensibly, fairly, and efficiently. It is the process of dealing with (perceived) incompatibilities or disagreements arising from, for example, diverging opinions, objectives, and needs.

However, the role of traditional institutions in conflict resolution has been challenged by state interference, weakening community bonds, and modernization. This makes it necessary to investigate their continuing relevance and effectiveness in conflict management in Owerri West.

## Statement of the Problem

Imo State, and particularly Owerri West, has witnessed various conflicts that have resulted in loss of lives, destruction of property, and prolonged insecurity. While traditional institutions historically managed such conflicts, their influence is increasingly eroded by political interference and the imposition of state authority. Many community members now bypass these institutions, preferring police or courts, while others refuse to accept traditional rulings. These tensions threaten the role of traditional institutions in sustaining peace. This research therefore, seeks to examine the forms, roles, strategies, and challenges of traditional institutions in conflict resolution in Owerri West.

Scope of the Study

The study focused on selected communities in Owerri West, including Ihiagwa, Nekede, Umuguma, and Okuku. It examined traditional institutions in the context of local conflicts such as land disputes, domestic violence, youth restiveness, and chieftaincy tussles.

## Study Objectives

The study aimed to:

1. Identify the forms of traditional institutions in Owerri West.
2. Examine the roles of traditional institutions in conflict resolution.
3. Assess the strategies employed by traditional institutions in managing conflicts.
4. Investigate the challenges faced by traditional institutions in conflict resolution.

## Research Questions

1. What forms of traditional institutions exist in Owerri West?
2. What roles do these institutions play in conflict resolution?

3. What strategies are adopted by traditional institutions in managing disputes?
4. What challenges hinder traditional institutions in conflict management?

### Significance of the Study

This study is significant because it highlights the enduring relevance of traditional institutions in maintaining peace at the grassroots level. Policymakers will benefit from understanding how to strengthen these institutions, while scholars gain insights into the intersection of culture and governance. Communities themselves will find value in recognizing the strategies that foster sustainable conflict resolution.

### Literature Review

A community is a social unit bound together by common norms, values, and identity (Gottdiener & Budd, 2018). Governance, at the community level, entails the structures and processes used to maintain order and achieve collective goals (Carrington, DeBuse, & Lee, 2018). Traditional institutions are indigenous systems of leadership and authority that encompass traditional rulers, elders, women's groups, and age grades. Conflict is understood as a situation where two or more parties pursue incompatible goals (Alemika, 2018). Conflict resolution therefore, refers to strategies used to manage disputes in a constructive manner, restoring peace and order (Cooley, 1992).

### Conflict

Conflict arises when parties perceive incompatible interests, values, or goals. It may be interpersonal, intra-communal, or inter-communal. Nigerian scholars (Albert, 2017; Francis, 2017) classify conflict as constructive, when it generates change and social progress, or destructive, when it leads to violence, loss of lives, and property destruction. In Imo State, conflict has manifested in forms such as communal boundary disputes, chieftaincy tussles, land disputes, and political violence (Alozie, 2022).

## Conflict Management

Conflict management refers to systematic processes and strategies used to prevent escalation and achieve resolution (Alemika, 2018; Dunmoye, 2018). Common approaches include negotiation, mediation, avoidance, compromise, and collaboration. At the grassroots, indigenous strategies such as oath-taking, arbitration by elders, and community sanctions have historically been employed (Ezenibe, 2019). These strategies often prioritize restoration of harmony over punitive justice.

### Forms and Roles of Traditional Institutions in Conflict Resolution

Community governance structures (CGS) in Igbo land typically include traditional rulers, elders, youth groups, women organizations, and vigilantes (Wosu & Agwanwo, 2017). These structures embody cultural legitimacy and authority:

Traditional rulers (Ezes, chiefs, elders): They serve as custodians of customs and adjudicators in disputes. Studies by Bello-Imam (2014) and Obulafor (2020) reveal that they wield legislative, executive, and judicial authority at the community level.

Youth bodies and vigilantes: These groups provide security, enforce sanctions, and deter deviant behaviours (Alemika & Chukwuma, 2015).

Women's associations (Umuada, women leaders): They mediate family and community disputes, particularly those related to marriage, inheritance, and domestic violence. Research by Joab-Peterside (2015) highlights their critical role in informal mediation.

Community Development Committees (CDCs): These act as decision-making and implementation organs, often bridging traditional and modern governance structures. These institutions promote peace by mediating disputes, fostering dialogue, and sanctioning offenders. However, their effectiveness is often undermined by political interference and state imposition of rulers (Ifeka, 2020).

### Strategies for Conflict Management

Orji (2017) suggests that two broad alternative attitudes or orientations could be taken in managing, handling or resolving conflict: cooperation and assertiveness. Cooperation is indicative of the contending party's desire to simultaneously satisfy his need as well as those of his opponent. Here, tactics such as accommodation, avoidance, collaboration, and compromise will be used. Whereas assertiveness describes the desire to satisfy one's own desires to the exclusion or at the expense of others. The tactics typically used include domination, arbitration, and confrontation.

**Facilitation:** it is in the hands of the traditional rulers and other community leaders to facilitate the reconciliation of disputes between two persons, group or communities as the issue may arise. In this process, community leaders provide support and assistance in mediation and conflict resolution. It is the responsibility of the community leaders to facilitate a satisfactory resolution for parties in a conflict through non-aggressive means. It represents a unique pseudo-cooperation strategy under which parties to a conflict negotiate their position through the interference of an impartial third-party

**Collaboration:** This is a middle course approach in which the parties together try to work with each other in an effort to find a solution that will be mutually satisfactory to both. Here, both parties listen to each other and try to understand the other's viewpoint. This is considered a win-win tactic, as no party loses.

**Compromise:** This involves adopting an expedient, mutually acceptable solution in which both parties make concessions to resolve the conflict. This is also a middle-course tactic. However, unlike in collaboration, each party loses something. A compromise applies when the parties' positions are so incompatible that they cannot be meaningfully reconciled. Compromise is a win-some-lose-some approach that leaves the parties only partially satisfied.

**Arbitration:** Here, the contending party seeks justice as a means of winning in the conflict. The issues of conflict are brought before an adjudicator who considers the facts of the dispute and makes a judgment objectively according to his findings.

**Confrontation:** This describes recourse to physical or psychological attack as a way of resolving the conflict in favour of a party. This is a win-lose tactic that often has damaging consequences and long-lasting effects on the losing party's resources, memory and dignity.

### Mediation in conflict resolution

Mediation describes an intervention in a negotiation or conflict by a mutually acceptable third party who does not have a definitive decision-making power over the disputants, but whose effort assists both parties in arriving at a mutually acceptable settlement of issues in their dispute (Moore, 2016). Mediation seeks to achieve an acceptable settlement for parties in a conflict through non-violent means. It represents a unique pseudo-cooperation strategy in which parties to a conflict negotiate their positions through the intervention of a neutral third party. Mediation is often necessitated where the contending parties are unable to negotiate their needs and goals because of the emotions involved. This strategy however,

is one that must be sought and agreed to by the parties involved. The key difference between mediation and arbitration lies in the process. While arbitration relies on hard, cold facts as a means of arriving at objective, logical and legal just settlement of a dispute, mediation seeks to provide a platform for the contending parties to achieve a voluntary settlement of their dispute in a way that will promote opportunities for a better future relationship (Cooley, 1992).

This presupposes that mediation is more likely to result in longer-lasting peace than arbitration. While mediation may be considered to be a potent tool for resolving conflicts, it may however not be applicable to all conflict situations, including: where the parties involved are unable to articulate their position sufficiently as a result of emotion, panic, fear or confusion; where the sincerity of a party to the dispute cannot be guaranteed; where a meaningful negotiation cannot be held because of the disputants' inability or unwillingness to listen; where the issue is non-negotiable; where a fair agreement cannot be reached because of the overbearing strength of one party; and, where it is in public interest that the details of the dispute be publicized rather than kept confidential as is the case in typical mediations, (Moore, 2016). A mediator plays several roles in a dispute, including: leadership; opening channels of communication; legitimizing the rights and interests of the disputants; facilitator of negotiations; problem analyzer; agent of reality; and scapegoat.

The independent mediator: This is one who is neutral and does not have vested interest in the dispute or its outcomes. Such a person is usually an expert who has received formal training and experience in mediation.

Social network mediator: This is one that has a relationship or shares some common values with the disputants. Their legitimacy as a mediator draws on the disputant's perception of the individual's integrity and discretion. Such an individual may be a co-worker, business colleague or family member of the disputants.

Authoritative mediator: This is an individual who is accepted as a mediator because he occupies a position of authority in the disputants' organization and therefore has leverages of control of the disputants. Although such a mediator does not impose himself or his decision on the disputants, each of them, however, recognizes the influence they can exert on them.

### The Stages of the Mediation Process

The mediation process follows a number of stages or phases: Initiation, Preparation, Introduction, Statement of the problem, Clarification of the problem, Generation and evaluation of alternatives, Selection of alternatives, Agreement and Adjournment (Moore 2016).

### a. Alternative Dispute Resolution

Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) refers to the various ways people can resolve disputes without going to trial. Common ADR processes include mediation, arbitration, and neutral evaluation. These processes are generally confidential, less formal, and less stressful than traditional court proceedings. It is simply a process of initiating alternative methods and procedures of resolving a civil or commercial dispute without resorting to litigation, which can be expensive, cumbersome, and time-consuming. ADR processes and litigation cannot run together; it has to be one process at

a time. Ideally, ADR is usually resorted to before instituting a court action, but subject to the circumstances of each case, it can be resorted to before judgment is given in a matter. If litigation is pending and the parties resort to ADR, the terms of the settlement reached by the parties would be brought to court and entered as a consent judgment (Olusola John Jegede, 2021).

ADRs, therefore, come into play at different levels and have a complementary character. The main advantages of ADR are rapidity, confidentiality and flexibility. Public courts may be asked to review the validity of ADR methods, but they will rarely overturn ADR decisions and awards if the disputing parties formed a valid contract to abide by them. According to Menkel-Meadow (2018), Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) refers to any means of settling disputes outside of the courtroom. ADR typically includes early neutral evaluation, negotiation, conciliation, mediation, and arbitration. As burgeoning court queues, rising costs of litigation, and time delays continue to plague litigants, more states have begun experimenting with ADR programs.

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is less costly and more productive than litigation. It comprises three phases: negotiation, mediation, and arbitration. In negotiation, the parties identify the issues, educate one another about their needs and interests, propose settlement options, and bargain over the final resolution. In mediation, a neutral mediator facilitates the negotiation between the association and the resident to help them agree on a solution that is acceptable to each of them. In arbitration, a neutral arbitrator hears both sides of the case and renders a decision based on evidence and testimony. An arbitrator's decision is as legal and binding as a court decision; however, the process is much less formal and far less expensive (Foundation for Community Association Research, 2001). A number of elements or factors must be present in order for ADR to be effective: trust, good communication, perception of similar values and beliefs by the disputants; acceptance of each other's legitimacy in the issues; and stakeholder participation (UNWVLC, 2017).

### b. Conflict transformation

Although similar in various aspects, conflict transformation has emerged as an alternative to conflict resolution. The two terms are used interchangeably in terms of meaning and application, with greater emphasis on conflict transformation. Conflict transformation canvases a superior argument over conflict resolution and conflict management, as it seeks to induce change in the disputing parties' relationship through improving mutual understanding. Importantly, conflict transformation emphasizes peacebuilding. The term conflict particularly applies in three ways:

(i) The fundamental change in the relationship between parties and a change in recognizing each other's ethnic and national aspirations.

(ii) The fundamental social and political changes made to correct inequities and injustice to provide all groups with their fundamental human needs, where transformation is also defined as the restructuring of social institutions as well as a redistribution of power from high-power groups to low-power groups.

(iii) Changing the consciousness and character of human beings through transformative mediation (cited in Botes, 2019).

The three goals of conflict transformation are: an engaged community, responsive governance, and a capacity for solving problems and resolving conflicts (Dukes, 2016).

An engaged community: A transformative practice seeks to nurture a strong democracy by helping constitute and support communities of dialogic relations at local, state, regional, and national levels. seeks to establish and sustain a standard of public discourse that empowers people to articulate their needs freely and to explore their differences fairly. It moderates powerlessness and alienation by insisting on inclusion and participation. It opposes the polarization and demonizing which too often accompany conflict by offering recognition of shared humanity and purpose. A transformative practice educates for a civic consciousness. It recognizes that individuals, through their participation in the public realm, are capable of transcending pressures of self-interest in search of common goals. And it encourages productive, realistic relationships both within and among communities of all kinds that recognize and affirm their interdependence - their relatedness - within this shared public domain.

More responsive Governance: While not ignoring the need for improved capabilities of public management, the transformative practice conceives of these desired capabilities as responsive to, rather than directive of, the public. A transformative practice acknowledges the importance of well-functioning administrative, legislative, and legal institutions. It recognizes their expanding role in a society whose problems are rapidly increasing in complexity and in scope. It seeks these institutions as potential channels of participation by an engaged citizenry. It wishes to enhance their capabilities by sensitizing them to public needs and by facilitating appropriate responses to public demands. And, it strives to strengthen these public institutions by encouraging active, lasting and meaningful public participation in decisions made on that public's behalf. A capacity for solving problems and resolving conflicts - A transformative practice addresses a wide range of problems, including pivotal issues of ethnicity, social class, and gender. It recognizes that underlying many disputes are struggles over power, status, and human needs such as identity, recognition and security. It also recognizes that ordinary disputes are often the manifestation of these deeper societal divisions. It acknowledges the disparities of power that favour relations of dominance along these divisions, and embraces the opportunities for revealing injustice and mobilizing concern presented by the inevitable conflicts that accompany and uncover these relations. It assists in efforts to equalize and even transcend power by acknowledging these disparities in public forums open to previously unseen faces and unheard voices. It recognizes that movements for justice are also capable of harm. It intervenes in the demonizing and polarization which pervade these disputes by advocating for openness, inclusion, fairness, and understanding.

## Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is based on the Conflict Resolution Theory and Institutional Theory

Conflict Resolution Theory by John Paul and Johan Galtung (1990)
This theory posits that conflicts arise from competing interests and needs, and that effective resolution requires understanding the underlying causes and dynamics of the conflict. In the context of Owerri West, traditional institutions play a crucial role in resolving community conflicts through customary practices and norms.

Institutional Theory by John North and Walter Neale (1975)
This theory suggests that institutions, including traditional ones, shape social behaviour and interactions. Traditional institutions in Owerri West, such as the Council of Elders, influence community conflict resolution processes.

This theoretical framework aligns with the study on Traditional Institutions and Community Conflict Resolution in Owerri West, Imo State, because it :

- Providing a foundation to understand how traditional institutions influence conflict resolution processes
- Highlighting the importance of legitimacy, cultural relevance, and accessibility of traditional institutions
- Guiding the examination of how these institutions transform conflicts in the community

Specifically, the framework supports investigating:

1. The role of traditional institutions (e.g., Council of Elders) in resolving community conflicts
2. How institutional norms and practices shape conflict resolution outcomes in Owerri West.

## Empirical Review

Ogbanga and Bukie (2024) worked on the role of traditional institutions in conflict resolution in Nigeria. from a social work perspective. Data were generated solely from secondary sources. The study was anchored in the system theory of Ludwig Bertalanffy (1956). The study revealed that the traditional conflict resolution method as practised in Nigeria is better than the modern adjudicative method of conflict resolution, because it is faster and non-adversarial. The study recommends, among other thing,s a reversal to traditional methods of conflict management and resolution, because it is friendlier, faster, cheaper and devoid of post-conflict resolution animosity.

Aderayo, Anuoluwapo Ayodele and Adekunle (2024) examined the effects of using traditional institutions in managing communal crisis in Akoko North-East, Ondo state, Nigeria. Descriptive research design was adopted, and both questionnaires and interviews were used to obtain firsthand information. Communitarian theory served as the theoretical framework. It was discovered that land, chieftaincy disputes, religion and politicisation are factors that affect communal crisis in Akoko, North-East Ondo state. The negative effects of the conflict include Economic disruption, human displacement and social division. Also, it was found that traditional institutions have the Authority over the affairs of the study areas. It was also discovered that the existence of two first-class kings, political manipulations, erosion of authority, and the lack of application of the sacred ancient rite in rural life have been a clog on the effective management of communal crisis in Akoko North-East, Ondo State. It recommended that the existence of two first-class chiefs in two localities should be resolved, political interference should be curbed and institutions should fall back on their ancient sacred rites to ensure their efficacy in managing communal crises.

Lamidi and Olaleye (2023) examined the shortcomings and complexities of modern mechanisms of conflict resolution. However, this study attempts to answer the question of whether the role of traditional institutions can still be found efficient and complementary in addressing the shortfalls and complexities of the modern state structure in conflict resolution. It adopts an in-depth qualitative research method. This study was conducted in

Southwest Nigeria. It utilises a purposive sampling technique to select formal and modern groups for evaluation purposes. It provides an independent critique of the role of traditional institutions in intra-communal conflict resolution in modern times, drawing on perspectives and inferences from formal and civil society groups. Interviews and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were used to generate qualitative data. Content analysis and the ZY index were used for analytical purposes. It reveals that there is still a symbiotic relationship between the traditional institutions and formal/state institutions on intra-conflict resolution matters. Thus, the former has the capacity to close the shortfalls and complexities of the latter on intra-communal conflict resolution. This study concludes that the roles of traditional institutions are still found to be complementary to the modern governance structure, with an appreciable level of efficacy, especially on intra-communal matters.

Nwoye and Nwekeaku (2023) examined traditional institutions and communal conflict-resolution strategies in Anambra State. The objective of the study is to identify some of these traditional conflict resolution strategies and analyze how they could be adapted to address mounting conflicts and crises in different parts of the country. Survey method was used to collect data, while the Marxian Conflict theory, based on dialectical and historical materialism of the society, was adopted as a framework of analysis. The collected data were converted into percentages and frequency tables for analysis. The findings revealed that traditional institutions are effective in communal conflicts resolution in Anambra state. It is recommended that the government should recognize, strengthen and integrate these traditional institutions into conflict resolution mechanisms in the state. Troubled communities in the state should not benefit directly from the government's financial release. Communities that prefer traditional methods of conflict resolution can be assisted to appreciate the challenges involved in doing so, especially in this era of globalizations.

Azu (2018) examined the challenges of traditional institutions in the management of communal conflicts. Â Data for this study was generated from secondary sources, which include related published works on library shelves, internet materials and primarily from researcherâ€™s personal observations.Â Specific reference was made to the leadership roles played by these institutions in the traditional communities prior to the advent of colonialism. The study was anchored on the African Renaissance Theory, which is rooted in African traditional values. Findings revealed that westernisation and its politics, coupled with primitive politics occurring in the Nigerian political arena, have altogether distracted and deviated the consciousness of these institutions from their primary role as custodians of traditional and customary values, especially in the area of ensuring peaceful co-existence among communities. The paper therefore argues that not until the traditional institutions are once more repositioned to assume their traditional roles and responsibilities, in partnership with the legal institutions of the state, will management of communal conflicts continue to be problematic, while peace building and consensus will remain a mirage.

Studies in Nigeria consistently highlight the role of traditional rulers in mediating disputes (Umezurike & Mbara, 2021). Joe (2022) emphasized that community governance structures serve as credible alternatives to formal conflict resolution, especially in rural settings. Similar findings across African societies show that traditional institutions are integral to peacebuilding but face challenges of legitimacy in the modern state (Albert, 2009). A research on community governance and conflict resolution as tools for managing development in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria was carried out by Joe-Duke (2017). Identifying an appropriate approach towards the rapid development of the conflict-prone

Niger Delta Region of Nigeria has challenged policymakers over the years. The contemporary assumption is that by simply channelling adequate public funds towards infrastructural and other needs, the Region will become developed.

Accordingly, Onyema (2016) examined the proliferation of chieftaincy titles in Imo State and found that political manipulation of traditional institutions fuels violent disputes, while Joab-Peterside's (2015) study showed that negotiation and mediation by traditional rulers are effective in resolving conflicts, especially in the Niger Delta. Obulafor (2020) also demonstrated that traditional rulers remain central in peacebuilding but emphasized the need for institutional support. Alozie (2022) highlighted specific conflicts in Owerri West, including the FUTO land dispute and chieftaincy crises, underscoring the persistence of structural and political triggers of conflict. These empirical studies affirm that while community governance structures are effective, they face challenges such as state interference, erosion of cultural authority, and modernization pressures.

The reviewed literature confirms that conflict is endemic in Nigerian communities, particularly in Imo State, but indigenous governance structures provide viable mechanisms for managing disputes. Theories such as Social Control and Community Implant emphasize the value of local social bonds and informal sanctions. Empirical studies consistently show that traditional institutions play critical roles in conflict prevention and resolution. However, gaps remain. Few studies have specifically focused on the interplay between community governance structures and conflict management in Owerri West LGA, despite its history of land disputes and chieftaincy tussles. Moreover, existing research often treats governance and conflict management separately, rather than examining their interdependence. This study fills the gap by empirically assessing how community governance structures in Owerri West manage conflicts and the challenges they face.

## Methodology

### Research Design
The study adopted a descriptive survey design, appropriate for eliciting opinions from a large number of respondents.

### Area of Study
Owerri West Local Government Area of Imo State, which includes communities such as Nekede, Ihiagwa, Umuguma, and Okuku.

### Population and Sample
The target population was 3,426 community members across selected communities. Using a proportionate cluster random sampling design, a sample of 343 respondents was selected, representing 10% of the population.

### Instruments for Data Collection
A structured questionnaire titled Traditional Institutions and Conflict Resolution Scale and focus group interviews were used. The instrument covered forms, roles, strategies, and challenges of traditional institutions.

### Validity and Reliability
The instruments were validated by experts in social sciences, and a Cronbach's Alpha reliability coefficient of 0.68 was obtained, indicating acceptable reliability.

Vol. 3, No. 1, 2026, ISSN: 1595-9287

### Method of Data Collection
The researcher personally administered questionnaires using the Direct Delivery Method and also conducted focus group interviews.

### Method of Data Analysis
Data were analyzed using percentages, and results were presented in tables. Any percentage ≥ 50% was accepted as significant.
Data Presentation and Analysis

Table 1: Forms of Traditional Institutions

| Traditional Institution | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Traditional rulers & councils | 310 | 90.4 |
| Age grades | 292 | 85.1 |
| Umu-Ada (women's groups) | 258 | 75.2 |
| Youth associations | 274 | 79.9 |
| Vigilante groups | 240 | 70.0 |

The study found that the major traditional institutions in Owerri West include traditional rulers and their councils, age grades, Umu-Ada women, youth associations, and vigilante groups. Respondents strongly affirmed that these bodies play central roles in maintaining peace.

**Table 2: Roles of Traditional Institutions**

| Role in Conflict Resolution | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Settlement of land disputes | 302 | 88.0 |
| Management of chieftaincy matters | 282 | 82.2 |
| Handling domestic/family conflicts | 264 | 76.9 |
| Control of youth unrest/cultism | 254 | 74.0 |
| Punishment of deviant acts | 240 | 70.0 |

Traditional rulers and councils of elders were identified as custodians of custom and primary mediators in land disputes. Umu-Ada intervened in cases of domestic violence, while youth associations checked cult activities. Vigilante groups provided community policing and punished offenders.

Table 3: Strategies of Conflict Resolution

| Strategy | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Mediation | 331 | 96.5 |
| Conciliation | 328 | 95.6 |
| Negotiation | 306 | 89.3 |
| Sanctions/fines | 247 | 72.0 |
| Rituals/oath-taking | 223 | 65.0 |

Common strategies include mediation (96.5% acceptance), negotiation (89.3%), conciliation (95.6%), and sanctions such as fines and public shaming. These strategies fostered reconciliation while preserving social harmony.

Table 4: Challenges Faced

| Challenge | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Government interference in chieftaincy | 292 | 85.1 |
| Police intrusion in local disputes | 274 | 79.9 |
| Refusal to accept traditional verdicts | 264 | 76.9 |
| Illiteracy of disputants/leaders | 240 | 70.0 |
| Religious/belief differences | 223 | 65.0 |

The main challenges included government interference in chieftaincy selection, police intrusion, refusal of parties to accept verdicts, illiteracy, and differences in belief systems. These undermine the authority of traditional institutions.

## Discussion

### Forms of Traditional Institutions

Results demonstrated that Owerri West communities maintain a multiplicity of traditional institutions that play distinct but complementary roles in governance and dispute resolution. The most widely recognized institutions were traditional rulers and councils of elders, endorsed by over 90% of respondents. Age grades (85%), Umu-Ada (75%), youth associations (80%), and vigilante groups (70%) were also identified as key actors. This confirms earlier studies, which suggest that Igbo society has historically been organized around multiple decentralized institutions, each with specialized authority in managing social life (Ezenibe, 2019; Umezurike & Mbara, 2021).

These findings indicate that even in contemporary settings, traditional institutions remain embedded in local governance, reflecting cultural legitimacy and accessibility. Unlike formal systems, they operate in familiar socio-cultural frameworks, which enhances community trust (Joe, 2022).

### Roles of Traditional Institutions in Conflict Resolution

The study found that traditional institutions are actively engaged in resolving diverse categories of disputes. Settlement of land disputes ranked highest (88%), followed by the management of chieftaincy matters (82%), domestic and family conflicts (77%), youth unrest and cultism (74%), and punishment of deviant acts (70%). This demonstrates the multifunctional role of traditional institutions in regulating both interpersonal and communal relationships.

The centrality of land disputes supports prior findings that land remains the most common source of communal conflict in Nigeria (Albert, 2009). Councils of elders and rulers are especially valued in such cases because of their deep knowledge of customary land boundaries and ancestral claims. Similarly, Umu-Ada were noted for their interventions in family disputes, a reflection of their longstanding cultural authority in Igbo communities to address issues of domestic violence and inheritance (Nwafor & Chukwu, 2021). Youth associations and vigilantes provide grassroots enforcement of community decisions, curbing youth violence and cult-related activities, thus linking governance with local security.

### Strategies Adopted in Conflict Resolution

Findings revealed that mediation (96.5%), conciliation (95.6%), and negotiation (89.3%) are the dominant strategies employed by traditional institutions. Community sanctions, such as fines, shaming, or ostracism (72%), and rituals, such as oath-taking and libation (65%), also remain relevant for enforcing compliance.

These results highlight the predominance of restorative and dialogic strategies in Igbo conflict resolution systems. As Umezurike and Mbara (2021) argue, traditional institutions prioritize reconciliation over punishment, seeking to restore relationships rather than impose adversarial outcomes. The continued use of rituals indicates an enduring belief in spiritual accountability, thereby strengthening compliance in contexts where formal enforcement mechanisms may be absent. At the same time, the inclusion of sanctions suggests that conflict management in Owerri West is not purely conciliatory but also coercive when dialogue fails, thereby ensuring balance between reconciliation and deterrence.

### Challenges Facing Traditional Institutions

Despite their resilience, traditional institutions face significant obstacles. The most frequently identified challenges included government interference in chieftaincy succession (85%), police intrusion into local disputes (80%), refusal of disputants to accept traditional verdicts (77%), illiteracy among community members (70%), and religious or belief-system differences (65%).

These findings underscore the tension between traditional authority and modern state structures. Alozie (2022) observed similar patterns in Ihiagwa Autonomous Community, where government-backed appointments of rulers fueled division and prolonged conflict. Police intrusion similarly undermines customary justice, creating a situation of dual authority that often delegitimizes traditional outcomes (Emmanuel et al., 2021). Furthermore, modernization and the spread of Christianity contribute to declining acceptance of rituals and sanctions such as oath-taking, as some individuals perceive them as incompatible with their faith. These challenges reflect broader structural transformations in African societies where traditional institutions are marginalized by the state yet continue to function as indispensable peacebuilding actors (Albert, 2009).

The findings confirm that traditional institutions remain vital in conflict resolution in Owerri West, consistent with earlier studies (Umezurike & Mbara, 2021). They provide culturally grounded mechanisms that are trusted by community members, unlike formal institutions that are often seen as corrupt or inaccessible. However, the challenges identified demonstrate the tension between indigenous governance systems and modern state structures.

### Conclusion

Traditional institutions are indispensable in managing conflicts in Owerri West. Despite modernization, they continue to provide legitimacy, accessibility, and cultural appropriateness in dispute resolution. Their strategies, rooted in dialogue, mediation, and communal sanctions, help preserve peace and harmony.

### Recommendations

1. Traditional rulers should be given constitutional backing to strengthen their authority.
2. The state should refrain from interfering in traditional leadership selection.
3. Regular training should be organized for traditional institutions on modern conflict resolution techniques.
4. Collaboration should be fostered between traditional institutions and formal legal/security systems to improve effectiveness.

## References

Adebayo, O., & Ogunsola, O. K. (2019). Social media usage and academic performance of university students in Nigeria. African Journal of Educational Research and Development, 11(2), 45–58.

Aderayo,A.A, Anuoluwapo,S.S, Ayodele,B.M & Adekunle E.K. (2024). Effect of Using

Traditional institutions in managing communal Crisis in Okoko, Ondo State. Wukari International Study Journal, 8,(9).

Akers, R. L., & Sellers, C. S. (2017). Criminological theories: Introduction, evaluation, and application (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Albert, I. O. (2009). Whose tradition? Whose peace? Conflicts over the traditional and the modern in contemporary Africa. African Conflict and Peacebuilding Review, 1(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.2979/afp.2009.1.1.1

Carrington, K., DeBuse, J., & Lee, R. (2018). The social construction of community and its implications for social capital: A qualitative study. Community Development Journal, 53(2), 236–252. https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsx022

Cooley, C. H. (1992). Human nature and the social order. Transaction Publishers. (Original work published 1902)

Eke, H. N., Omekwu, C. O., & Odoh, N. J. (2014). The use of social networking sites among undergraduates in Nigeria: Case study of the University of Nigeria, Nsukka. Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal), 1195. Retrieved from https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1195

Gottdiener, M., & Budd, L. (2018). Key concepts in urban studies (2nd ed.). SAGE.

Joe, E. (2022). Community governance structures and peacebuilding in rural Nigeria. Journal of African Studies and Development, 14(3), 45–57. https://doi.org/10.5897/JASD2021.0623

Livingstone, S. (2014). Developing social media literacy: How children learn to interpret risky opportunities on social network sites. Communications, 39(3), 283–303. https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2014-0113

Lamidi, K.O, & Olaleya O.I (2023). Short falls and Complexities of modern mechanism on Conflict Resolution. https://doi.org/10.1080/14725843.2023.2227353.

Nwafor, C. C., & Chukwu, U. A. (2021). Youth, social media, and political participation in Nigeria: Emerging issues and perspectives. Journal of Political Communication and Society, 5(1), 77–92.

Nwoye, K.O. & Nwekeaku, C. (2023). Traditional institutions and Conflict Resolution Strategies in Anambra State. Social Scientia. 8,(3).

Ogbanga,M & Bukie B. (2024). Role of Traditional institutions in conflict resolution in Nigerian from a social Work Perspective. Doi:5281/ZENODO.13823154.

Rosenbaum, D. P. (2017). The theory and practice of community policing: An evaluation of the Chicago experience. In W. G. Skogan (Ed.), Community policing: Can it work? (pp. 95–124). Wadsworth.

Umezurike, H. O., & Mbara, G. C. (2021). The role of traditional rulers in conflict prevention and peacebuilding in Nigeria: An appraisal. International Journal of Peace and Conflict Studies, 8(1), 22–35.

UNESCO. (2018). Global media and information literacy assessment framework: Country readiness and competencies. UNESCO Publishing.